

Terminious, California

Foundation Encyclopedia Dialectica

Webmaster, www.dialectics.org

June 14, 2011 C.E. / B.U.E.

Subject: Postlude Series - Postlude V: 'The Self-Reflexivity Paradigm of Dialectic'.

Dear www.dialectics.org Webmaster,

Greetings to you from Foundation Encyclopedia Dialectica!

<u>Background</u>. This letter contains **Postlude V** of the series of postludes to the recently-published Volume **0** of the major new manifesto by **Foundation** <u>Encyclopedia</u> <u>Dialectica</u>, entitled: '<u>A Dialectical "Theory of Everything"</u> – <u>Meta-Genealogies of the Universe and of Its Sub-Universes</u>: A Graphical Manifesto'.

The title of this Postludes series is: 'Portents and 'Pre-Vestiges' of an Immanent Critique of the Ideology in Modern, 'Mathematico-Science' as a Totality'.

This series, as did its predecessor, Preludes series, excerpts contents from **Chapter** –1 of that manifesto, the Chapter entitled: 'Elements of the [Psycho-]Historical 'Mystery of The Dialectic' and a Tapestry of Clues Toward Solution of that Mystery.'

This 5th Postlude is entitled: 'The <u>Self-Reflexivity</u> Paradigm of <u>Dialectic</u>'. It uses visible-spectrum color order – red, orange, yellow, green, blue, indigo, violet[, ultra-violet] – to highlight qualitative ordinalities.

Postlude V: 'The Self-Reflexivity Paradigm of Dialectic'.

Universal dialectic is the self-changing, self-reflexive "self-dialogue" of all beings.

In earlier, pre-human[oid] stages of cosmological 'meta-evolution', this "self-dialogue" is more "external-physical".

It is <u>not</u> in the form of spoken/written *language*, or of <u>un</u>spoken *thought*, but of "external-physical" 'self-effects' and of their further "external-physical" 'self-consequences'.

In 'external-physical dialectic', quantitative auto-catalysis, mounts to 'quanto-qualitative' 'neo-onto-catalysis'.

l.e., in '«physis»-dialectic', or 'physio-dialectic', the quantitative 'self-catalytic' growth of the «monads» of a predecessor «arithmos» -- the self-reflexive operation of «arithmos» expanded self-reproduction as expansion of the population of its «monads» -- turns itself into 'qualitative growth': the growth of new qualities.

This also means: turns itself into 'ontological growth'; into 'neo-onto-genesis', via the dialectical process of self-«aufheben» 'self-meta-«monad»-ization'; into the self-conversion of some of the predecessor (monads) into the 'neo-«monads) - the 'meta-«monads).

This typically happens, at first, gradually -- invisibly -- but later, suddenly, visibly, and dramatically.

It happens when the "potential" negentropy, or organizational propensity, of an «arithmos» exceeds its negentropy actualization, making it prone to become predecessor, prone to irrupt a successor to itself.

<u>'Self-Reflexivity'</u> names the <u>quality</u> that <u>«auto-kinesis»</u> [a <u>«genos»</u> with <u>«species»</u> <u>'«auto-alloiosis»</u>', or <u>self-induced changel <u>'self-other-ization'</u> of internal <u>quality</u>, plus with <u>«species»</u> <u>self-induced <u>"locomotion"</u>, or <u>change of place in physical space</u>], <u>& 'self-refluxivity'</u> ['karmicity'], <u>& nonlinearity</u> all share with <u>«dialektik»</u>.</u></u>

Applying 'The <u>Self-Reflexivity Paradigm of Dialectic</u>' means modeling processes in general on the analogy of the process of <u>human dialogue</u>, but <u>not</u> so much per the patterns of the <u>inter</u>action of a 'dia', 'duo', or pair of <u>humans</u>, conceived as <u>mutually <u>external</u> to one another: more so per the patterns of '<u>self-dia'</u>, or '<u>self-pairing</u>' <u>self-dialogue</u> -- the <u>self-reflective</u>, "'<u>self-reflexive</u>", <u>un</u>spoken thought-process of each person.</u>

'The <u>Self-Reflexivity Paradigm of Dialectic</u>' is a vision of the ongoing «karma yoga» of the total universe and of each "eventity" that is [part] of it -- of each "eventity", and of their totality -- all changing continually due to the continual 'to-self-return' of the continuing actions that they, each and all, source, acting back upon themselves as action-recipients of the actions for which they were also, earlier, the action-agents / action-emitters, as well as due to the continual "inter-mutual" "inter-actions" of each with all others.

Per this perspective, *the historical-dialectic of nature*, i.e., *the dialectic of natural history*, *including* that of *human-social history*, far from being "subject-less", is 'subject-pervaded'; is veritably teeming with 'subject-ivity' or 'subject-ness' all along. The «arithmos» of "subjects" consists of <u>not</u> just "human subjects", but of all of the [ev]entities able to fulfill the role of "subject", or of 'agent-of-action', described by a well-formed, spoken or written sentence of a 'sentence-ial' human[oid] language.

'Subject-ivity' in general, or 'subject-ness' / 'subject-hood', is not just 'specific', human 'subject-ivity'.

<u>Specifically-human</u> 'subject-ivity' is but one «<u>species</u>» -- the latest-to-arise one of which we know -- in a large «<u>genos</u>» of 'subject-ivity-in-general', a «<u>genos</u>» which spans all [ev]entities able to fulfill the role of "subject" that a **sentence** describes -- that of the <u>agent</u> or source of the <u>action</u> of the <u>verb</u>.

A "well-formed" <u>sentence</u>, in which the name of the <u>subject</u> / <u>agent-of-action</u>, & the name of the <u>object</u> / recipient-of-<u>action</u>, both refer to the same [ev]entity, is already in "well-formed" '<u>dialectical</u> form/content', if that <u>sentence</u> also explicitly describes the <u>qualitative</u>, <u>ontological</u> <u>difference</u> that this <u>self-action</u> makes, as well as describing what is <u>conserved</u> of the '<u>pre</u>-each-<u>action</u>' <u>subject</u> / <u>object</u> [ev]entity's identity.

It is so since such a **sentence** 'explicitizes' the subject-aspect-vs.-object-aspect 'self-dual-ity' of that [ev]entity.

If that <u>sentence</u> also goes further, to exhibit a verb which is an '<u>action</u>-name' of the defining, 'essence-ial', necessary, character-istic <u>act</u>ivity of that [ev]entity, then that <u>sentence</u> is in yet-fuller '<u>dialectical</u> form/content'.

<u>Example</u>: 'The set of all sets 'set-izes' itself.', or 'The set of all sets recurrently 'element-izes' itself / all of its <u>sub</u>-sets, back into itself.', as discussed in detail in **Postlude IV**.

<u>Ideo</u>graphic versions, "algebraic" versions, of such <u>sentences</u> feature recurrently <u>self-operating operations</u>, which, via their very <u>self-operation</u>, are also <u>qualitatively</u>, <u>ontologically self-changing operations</u>.

The "squaring", «dynamis», self-product[-tion] terms, expressed in, e.g., the Q dialectical ideography, provide 'ideogramic images' -- in effect, 'ideogramic pictograms' -- and also 'ideogramic abbreviations' [potentially "worth 1,000 words" on both counts] of subject-verb-object-identical sentences; of natural-language sentences describing processes of 'auto-kinesic', "'self-reflexive'', 'self-refluxive' ["karmic"], "nonlinear'', self-«aufheben» 'self-meta-«monad»-ization' -- in short, provide a "mathematical shorthand" for 'dialectical sentences'.

Dialogically yours,

Aoristos Dyosphainthos Member, General Council Foundation *Encyclopedia Dialectica*